arXiv:1612.06349v1 [hep-th] 19 Dec 2016

Confluent Crum-Darboux transformations in Dirac Hamiltonians with PT-symmetric Bragg gratings

Francisco Correa[a,b], Vít Jakubský[c]

[a] *Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany*

[b] *Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Casilla 567, Valdivia, Chile*

[c] *Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute, 25068 Řež, Czech Republic*

E-mails: francisco.correa@uach.cl, jakub@ujf.cas.cz

Abstract

We consider optical systems where propagation of light can be described by a Dirac-like equation with PT-symmetric Hamiltonian. In order to construct exactly solvable configurations, we extend the confluent Crum-Darboux transformation for the one-dimensional Dirac equation. The properties of the associated intertwining operators are discussed and the explicit form for higher-order transformations is presented. We utilize the results to derive a multi-parametric class of exactly solvable systems where the balanced gain and loss represented by the PT-symmetric refractive index can imply localization of the electric field in the material.

1 Introduction

In specific situations, the propagation of light in optical systems can be described by equations that are at home in quantum mechanics. Indeed, the Helmholtz equation in paraxial approximation acquires the form of a Schrödinger-like equation [1]. One deals with coupled differential equations of the Dirac-type within the context of the coupled-wave theory of distributed feedback lasers [2, 3]. The interaction present in the associated Hamiltonians depends on the optical properties of the material the light is propagating in. These optical characteristics can be described by the refractive index. It can be position-dependent in an optically inhomogeneous material, it can be also complex valued when gain and loss occur in the system [4, 5, 6].

The description of optical systems with complex refractive index departs from the concept of standard quantum mechanics, where the operators are required to be hermitian. However, a link can be still established within the realm of PT-symmetric quantum mechanics, where the requirement of hermiticity is relaxed and replaced by PT-symmetry, where P is the

space inversion and T is the time reversal operator [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The relation between optics and PT-symmetric quantum mechanics has been exploited extensively in the recent years. Optical systems described by a Schrödinger equation with complex PT-symmetric potential were analyzed e.g. in [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Those described by Dirac equation were discussed in [21] where the attention was paid to the spectral singularities and the PT-symmetry breaking.

The light propagating through a non-uniform Bragg grating with small fluctuations of the refractive index can be well described by the coupled mode theory [3, 22]. The Maxwell equations for a monochromatic electromagnetic field of the form

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e_1}\left(E(x_3)e^{-i\omega t} + E^*(x_3)e^{i\omega t}\right), \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{e_2}\left(H(x_3)e^{-i\omega t} + H^*(x_3)e^{i\omega t}\right).$$

reduce to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}E(x_3) = i\omega\mu_0 H(x_3)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}H = i\omega\epsilon_0 n^2(x_3)E(x_3)$. Combining the two equations, we find that the electric field has to satisfy

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2}E(x_3) + k^2\left(\frac{n(x_3)}{n_0}\right)^2 E(x_3) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $k = \omega n_0/c$, $\mu_0\epsilon_0 = c^{-1}$, and n_0 is the reference refractive index. Fixing the electric field in the form of two counter-propagating waves,

$$E(x) = u(x)\exp\left(ix + \frac{i}{2}\phi(x)\right) + v(x)\exp\left(-ix - \frac{i}{2}\phi(x)\right), \quad x = k_0 x_3, \tag{1.2}$$

where k_0 is defined as the wave number of light at the Bragg scattering resonance frequency $\omega_0 = ck_0/n_0$, the equation (1.1) can be brought into the form of two coupled equations [22],

$$\partial_x u = i(\rho(x)u(x) + \kappa(x)v(x)), \quad \partial_x v = -i(\rho(x)v(x) + \kappa(x)u(x)), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\rho(x) = \sigma(\xi) + \Delta - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x\phi(x)$ and $\Delta = (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_0$. The functions $\sigma(x)$, $\kappa(x)$ determine the profile of the refractive index $n(z) = n_0(1 + \sigma(x) + 2\kappa(x)\cos(2x + \phi(x)))$. In order to keep fluctuations of $n(x)$ small, we require $|\sigma(x)| << 1$ and $|\kappa| << 1$. With the use of $F = (u, v)^T$, we can rewrite (1.3) as

$$HF(x) = (-i\sigma_3\partial_x + i\sigma_2\rho(x) - \sigma_1\kappa(x))F(x) = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

which coincides with the one-dimensional *non-hermitian* stationary Dirac equation at zero-energy [1]. The systems described by Eq. (1.4) will be of our main interest.

The Crum-Darboux transformation is a differential operator that annihilates fixed eigenstates of the initial, known[2], Hamiltonian [23, 24]. There exists an unambiguous prescription for the new Hamiltonian such that it is intertwined with the initial one by this transformation. The intertwining relation implies that the exact solutions for the stationary equation of the new system are obtained by acting on the eigenstates of the initial Hamiltonian with the

[1] Using the ansatz $E(x,t) = u(x,t)\exp\left(ix + \frac{i}{2}\phi(x)\right) + v(x,t)\exp\left(-ix - \frac{i}{2}\phi(x)\right)$, there would appear $i\partial_t F$ on the right hand side of (1.4), see [3]. Let us notice that Dirac-like equation appears also in description of another optical system where two identical coupled PT wave guides are considered, see [25].

[2] The solutions of the associated initial stationary equation are supposed to be known.

intertwining operator. Besides being very fruitful for quantum mechanics, see e.g. [26] for a review, it proves to be an effective tool for the analysis of optical systems [27, 28, 29, 30, 31].

When the Crum-Darboux transformation annihilates eigenstates and Jordan states associated with a single eigenvalue is called *confluent*. In the literature, it was discussed mostly for Schrödinger Hamiltonians in the context of quantum systems [32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38], as well as for optical settings with complex refractive index [39, 40]. Recently, it was also used for the construction of a limited class of Dirac Hamiltonians in [41].

In the current article, we will find $\rho(x)$ and $\kappa(x)$ with the help of Crum-Darboux transformations such that (1.4) is exactly solvable. We modify the confluent Crum-Darboux transformation for the use with Dirac Hamiltonians with a generic potential. We consider chains of confluent Crum-Darboux transformations and show explicit formulas for both the higher-order intertwining operator and the new Hamiltonian. In particular, we focus on Crum-Darboux transformations that render the new Hamiltonian PT-symmetric. Finally, we construct a class of PT-symmetric Dirac operators whose relevance for description of optical systems is discussed.

2 First-order transformations and their chains

In order to explain in more details the confluent Crum-Darboux transformations, let us start with the construction of a first order transformation. We set the initial, one-dimensional Dirac Hamiltonian in the following form

$$H_0 = -i\sigma_3\partial_x + V_0, \tag{2.1}$$

where V_0 is an arbitrary matrix function. Following [42], we make the following ansatz for the intertwining operator

$$L_1 = \partial_x - U_x U^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since we assume U is an invertible matrix and $U_x \equiv \partial_x U$, by construction, the above equation means that $L_1 U = 0$. We want L_1 to be an intertwining operator between H_0 and another Hamiltonian $H_1 = -i\sigma_3\partial_x + V_1$. Hence, the explicit form of both L_1 and V_1 should be fixed such that there holds

$$L_1 H_0 = H_1 L_1\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the corresponding derivatives, we get two equations for the unknown matrices V_1 and U,

$$V_1 = V_0 - i[U_x U^{-1}, \sigma_3], \quad \left(U^{-1} H_0 U\right)_x = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The first one fixes V_1. The second one is a differential equation for U. It is satisfied whenever $H_0 U = U\Lambda$ with Λ being a constant matrix. As any matrix with complex elements can be transformed into a Jordan form, we can fix U in such way that

$$H_0 U = U\Lambda, \quad \text{for} \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence, we can write down U as

$$U = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2). \tag{2.6}$$

The spinors Ω_1 and Ω_2 either satisfy $H_0\Omega_a = \lambda_a\Omega_a$, in the case when Λ is diagonal[3], or $(H_0-\lambda)\Omega_1 = 0$ and $(H_0-\lambda)\Omega_2 = \Omega_1$ when Λ has the form of a Jordan block. The latter choice represents a direct generalization of [42], where only a diagonal Λ was considered.

Once U is fixed, the intertwining operator L_1 as well as H_1 are uniquely defined. The operator (2.2) annihilates both vectors Ω_a, $L\Omega_a = 0$, $a = 1, 2$. It is worth noticing that, at the moment, the present construction is formal and an additional care is needed to obtain a physically relevant result. In particular, the requirement of regularity has to be imposed on the intertwining operator such that the new Hamiltonian H_1 does not contain any new singularities. This is equivalent to the requirement that $\det U \neq 0$ for the considered domain of x. We will discuss this issue later on.

Let us continue our discussion with the case of a chain of consecutive first order Crum-Darboux transformations. We focus on the situation where the intertwining operators are systematically constructed from the Jordan states associated with a fixed eigenvalue λ_*. First, we shall fix the notation. Given a Hamiltonian H_0, we denote the two independent (not necessarily physical) eigenvectors of H_0 corresponding to an eigenvalue λ as Ψ_0 and $\widetilde{\Psi}_0$,

$$(H_0-\lambda)\Psi_0 = 0, \quad (H_0-\lambda)\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

The Jordan states $\chi_0^{(n)}$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)}$ associated with Ψ_0 and $\widetilde{\Psi}_0$, respectively, can be defined as the solutions of the $(n+1)$th iterated Dirac equation, $(H_0-\lambda)^{n+1}\chi_0^{(n)} = (H_0-\lambda)^{n+1}\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)} = 0$, in the following form [37],

$$\chi_0^{(n)} = \frac{\partial^n\Psi_0}{\partial\lambda^n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(c_k^{(n)}\chi_0^{(k)} + d_k^{(n)}\tilde{\chi}_0^{(k)}), \qquad (H_0-\lambda)^n\chi_0^{(n)} = \Psi_0\,, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)} = \frac{\partial^n\widetilde{\Psi}}{\partial\lambda^n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\tilde{c}_k^{(n)}\chi_0^{(k)} + \tilde{d}_k^{(n)}\tilde{\chi}_0^{(k)}), \qquad (H_0-\lambda)^n\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)} = \widetilde{\Psi}_0\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where $c_k^{(n)}$, $\tilde{c}_k^{(n)}$, $d_k^{(n)}$ and $\tilde{d}_k^{(n)}$ are some complex numbers and $\chi_0^{(0)} = \Psi_0$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(0)} = \widetilde{\Psi}_0$. In particular, the Jordan states satisfy

$$(H_0-\lambda)\chi_0^{(n)} = \chi_0^{(n-1)}, \quad (H_0-\lambda)\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)} = \widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n-1)}. \tag{2.10}$$

As the first link in the chain of transformations we are going to discuss, we define L_1 such that it annihilates Ψ_0 and $\chi_0^{(1)}$, $(H_0-\lambda_*)\Psi_0 = (H_0-\lambda_*)\chi_0^{(1)} - \Psi_0 = 0$ and intertwines H_0 with the Hamiltonian H_1,

$$L_1\Psi_0 = L_1\chi_0^{(1)} = 0, \quad L_1H_0 = H_1L_1\,. \tag{2.11}$$

The eigenstates Ψ_1 and $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ of H_1, $(H_1-\lambda)\Psi_1 = (H_1-\lambda)\tilde{\Psi}_1 = 0$, can be written for any λ as

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_1 = L_1\widetilde{\Psi}_0, \quad \Psi_1 = \begin{cases} L_1\Psi_0 & \text{for} \quad \lambda \neq \lambda_* \\ L_1\chi_0^{(2)} & \text{for} \quad \lambda = \lambda_*. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

[3]Let us notice that H_1 reduces to H_0 when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

Here, we have utilized (2.10) and (2.11) which imply $L_1\chi_0^{(1)} = L_1(H_0-\lambda_*)\chi_0^{(2)} = (H_1-\lambda_*)L_1\chi_0^{(2)} = 0$. The Jordan states $\chi_1^{(1)}$ or $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{(1)}$ of H_1 associated with either Ψ_1 or $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$, $(H_1-\lambda)\chi_1^{(1)} = \Psi_1$, $(H_1-\lambda)\tilde{\chi}_1^{(1)} = \tilde{\Psi}_1$, are

$$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{(1)} = L_1\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(1)}, \quad \chi_1^{(1)} = \begin{cases} L_1\chi_0^{(1)} & \text{for} \quad \lambda \neq \lambda_*, \\ L_1\chi_0^{(3)} & \text{for} \quad \lambda = \lambda_*. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

For the second link of the chain, we fix L_2 such that $L_2\Psi_1 = L_2\chi_1^{(1)} = 0$, $(H_1-\lambda_*)\Psi_1 = 0$, $(H_1-\lambda_*)\chi_1^{(1)} = \Psi_1$. Then there exists H_2 such that the following relations hold true,

$$L_1H_0 = H_1L_1, \quad L_2H_1 = H_2L_2, \quad L_2L_1H_0 = H_1L_2L_1. \tag{2.14}$$

We can identify the chain of the two Darboux transformations with a second-order intertwining operator $\mathcal{L}_2 = L_2L_1$ that directly intertwines H_0 with H_2. $\mathcal{L}_2$ can be defined directly as the operator that annihilates Ψ_0, $\chi_0^{(1)}$, $\chi_0^{(2)}$, $\chi_0^{(3)}$, see (2.12) and (2.13), and the coefficient at the highest derivative is normalized to one. The chain is illustrated in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Schematically illustrated action of L_1, L_2 (red arrows) and $L_1^\sharp$, $L_2^\sharp$ (dashed blue arrows) on the eigenvectors of the associated Jordan states of H_0 (left box), H_1 (center), and H_2 (right).

The Hamiltonians H_0, H_1 are not hermitian in general. Hence, the construction of the intertwining operator $L_1^\sharp$ which satisfies $H_0L_1^\sharp = L_1^\sharp H_1$ is less straightforward than in the hermitian case. Let us define $L_1^\sharp = \partial_x - U_x^\sharp(U^\sharp)^{-1}$ where $U^\sharp = (\widetilde{\Psi}_1, \widetilde{\chi}_1^{(1)})$. Then $L_1^\sharp$ intertwines H_1 and H_0,

$$H_0L_1^\sharp = L_1^\sharp H_1, \quad L_1^\sharp\widetilde{\Psi}_1 = L_1^\sharp\widetilde{\chi}_1^{(1)} = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

To prove this relation, notice first that $L_1^\sharp L_1 = (H_0-\lambda_*)^2$ as the operators have the same kernel and the same coefficient at the highest derivative. Then, we can rewrite $[L_1^\sharp L_1, H_0] = 0$ as $(L_1^\sharp H_1 - H_0L_1^\sharp)L_1 = 0$. Hence, the relation (2.15) is valid on the space of all eigenstates and associated Jordan states of H_1. The operator $L_1^\sharp$ acts like the "inverse" operator to L_1, see Fig. 1 for illustration.

3 Intertwining operators of higher order

One can construct a chain of Darboux transformations of arbitrary length n and identify it with a higher-order intertwining operator $\mathcal{L}_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_nH_0 = H_n\mathcal{L}_n, \qquad \mathcal{L}_n\Psi_0 = \mathcal{L}_n\chi_0^{(j)} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n-1. \tag{3.1}$$

We are going to write down the explicit form of H_n and $\mathcal{L}_n$. Let us fix the initial Hamiltonian H_0,

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_x & q_0 \\ r_0 & i\partial_x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $q_0(x)$ and $r_0(x)$ are arbitrary complex functions, and a set of $2n$ spinors,

$$S_{2n} = \{\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \ldots, \Xi_{2n-1}, \Xi_{2n}\}, \qquad \Xi_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_i \\ g_i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

We suppose that they represent a sequence of an eigenstate and its associated Jordan states corresponding to a single eigenvalue λ_*, $S_{2n} = \left\{\Psi_0, \chi_0^{(1)}, \chi_0^{(2)}, \ldots, \chi_0^{(2n-1)}\right\}$, $(H_0 - \lambda_*)\Psi_0 = 0$. Then we suggest the following definitions of the intertwining operator $\mathcal{L}_n$, which annihilates the complete set (3.3),

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\det A[f^{(\ell)}]}{\det W}\partial_x^\ell & \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\det A[g^{(\ell)}]}{\det W}\partial_x^\ell \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\det B[f^{(\ell)}]}{\det W}\partial_x^\ell & \partial_x^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\det B[g^{(\ell)}]}{\det W}\partial_x^\ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{L}_n\Xi_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., 2n. \tag{3.4}$$

Here, we denoted

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} f^{(n)} & f^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f & g^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g' & g \\ f_1^{(n)} & f_1^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f_1 & g_1^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g_1' & g_1 \\ f_2^{(n)} & f_2^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f_2 & g_2^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g_2' & g_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ f_{2n}^{(n)} & f_{2n}^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f_{2n} & g_{2n}^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g_{2n}' & g_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} g^{(n)} & f^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f & g^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g' & g \\ g_1^{(n)} & f_1^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f_1 & g_1^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g_1' & g_1 \\ g_2^{(n)} & f_2^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f_2 & g_2^{(n)} & \ldots & g_2' & g_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ g_{2n}^{(n)} & f_{2n}^{(n-1)} & \ldots & f_{2n} & g_{2n}^{(n)} & \ldots & g_{2n}' & g_{2n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

The matrix $A[h^{(i)}]$ ($B[h^{(i)}]$) in (3.4) is obtained from A (B) by substituting all the entries in the first row by zeros *except* the position $h^{(i)}$ where $h^{(i)} \to 1$. Here, h is either f or g. To get $A[f^{(1)}]$ in the case $n = 2$, we have to substitute the first row $[f'', f', f, g', g]$ in the matrix A by $[0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$. The matrix W corresponds to the generalized Wronskian of the set (3.3),

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} f_1^{(n-1)} & f_1^{(n)} & \ldots & f_1 & g_1^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g_1' & g_1 \\ f_2^{(n-1)} & f_2^{(n)} & \ldots & f_2 & g_2^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g_2' & g_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ f_{2n}^{(n-1)} & f_{2n}^{(n)} & \ldots & f_{2n} & g_{2n}^{(n-1)} & \ldots & g_{2n}' & g_{2n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.7}$$

The Hamiltonian H_n, defined in Eq. (3.1), acquire the following form

$$H_n = \begin{pmatrix} -i\frac{d}{dx} & q_n \\ r_n & i\frac{d}{dx} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$q_n = q + 2i\frac{\det D_q}{\det W}, \quad r_n = r + 2i\frac{\det D_r}{\det W}, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$D_q = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^{(n-2)} & g_1^{(n-3)} & \dots & g_1 & f_1^{(n)} & \dots & f_1' & f_1 \\ g_2^{(n-2)} & g_2^{(n-3)} & \dots & g_2 & f_2^{(n)} & \dots & f_2' & f_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ g_{2n}^{(n-2)} & g_{2n}^{(n-3)} & \dots & g_{2n} & f_{2n}^{(n)} & \dots & f_{2n}' & f_{2n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$D_r = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^{(n)} & g_1^{(n-1)} & \dots & g_1 & f_1^{(n-2)} & \dots & f_1' & f_1 \\ g_2^{(n)} & g_2^{(n-1)} & \dots & g_2 & f_2^{(n-2)} & \dots & f_2' & f_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ g_{2n}^{(n)} & g_{2n}^{(n-1)} & \dots & g_{2n} & f_{2n}^{(n-2)} & \dots & f_{2n}' & f_{2n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.11}$$

Then the operators H, H_n and $\mathcal{L}_n$ as defined (3.2) and (3.4)-(3.11) satisfy the intertwining relation

$$\mathcal{L}_n H = H_n \mathcal{L}_n. \tag{3.12}$$

The formulas (3.4)-(3.11) coincide with the those that appear in the literature for the usual Crum-Darboux transformations, e.g. [43].

In the end of the section, let us comment on the construction of the inverse intertwining operator for the operator (3.2) and (3.8). The Hamiltonians (3.2) and (3.8) satisfy the following symmetry relation

$$H_0 = \sigma_1 H_0^t \sigma_1, \quad H_n = \sigma_1 H_n^t \sigma_1, \tag{3.13}$$

where ^{t} stands for transposition. Transposing and multiplying (3.12) by σ_1 from both sides, we get $\sigma_1(H_n\mathcal{L}_n)^t\sigma_1 = \sigma_1(\mathcal{L}_n H)^t\sigma_1$ that can be written as

$$(\sigma_1\mathcal{L}_n^t\sigma_1)H_n = H_0(\sigma_1\mathcal{L}_n^t\sigma_1), \tag{3.14}$$

which is the inverse intertwining relation for H_0 and H_n.

4 PT-symmetric Dirac operators

In order to simulate a balanced gain and loss in the system, we require the Hamiltonian H_n to be PT-symmetric, where P is the spatial inversion ($PxP = -x$) and T is the time reversal

operator ($TxT = x$, $TiT = -i$). Let us suppose that the Hamiltonian H_0 is PT-symmetric, i.e.

$$[H_0, PT] = 0,$$

that implies $[PT, q_0] = [PT, r_0] = 0$. Both eigenstates Ψ, $\widetilde{\Psi}$ and the associated Jordan states $\chi_0^{(n)}$, $\tilde{\chi}_0^{(n)}$ of H_0 can be fixed in such a way they have definite parity ϵ with respect to the operator PT,

$$PT\Psi_0 = \epsilon\Psi_0, \quad PT\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = -\epsilon\widetilde{\Psi}_0, \tag{4.1}$$

$$PT\chi_0^{(n)} = \epsilon\,\chi_0^{(n)}, \quad PT\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)} = -\epsilon\,\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)}, \quad \epsilon^2 = 1. \tag{4.2}$$

To satisfy these relations, the Jordan states (2.8) and (2.9) are fixed as

$$\chi_0^{(n)} = \frac{\partial^n\Psi_0}{\partial\lambda^n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(c_k^{(n)}\chi_0^{(k)} + i\,d_k^{(n)}\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(k)}), \quad \widetilde{\chi}_0^{(n)} = \frac{\partial^n\widetilde{\Psi}_0}{\partial\lambda^n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(i\,\tilde{c}_k^{(n)}\chi_0^{(k)} + \tilde{d}_k^{(n)}\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(k)}), \tag{4.3}$$

where the constants $c_k^{(n)}$, $\tilde{c}_k^{(n)}$, $d_k^{(n)}$ and $\tilde{d}_k^{(n)}$ must acquire real values.

We chose the set of seed, PT-symmetric, functions given by $S_{2n} = \left\{\Psi_0, \chi_0^{(1)}, \chi_0^{(2)}, \ldots, \chi_0^{(2n-1)}\right\}$. Using directly the formulas (3.7), (3.10), and (3.11) we can see that

$$[PT, \det W] = 0, \quad \{PT, \det D_q\} = 0, \quad \{PT, \det D_q\} = 0\,, \tag{4.4}$$

which imply that $[PT, q_n] = [PT, r_n] = 0$. As there also holds $PT\det A[\psi^{(i)}]PT = (-1)^{n-i}\det A[\psi^{(i)}]$, the Hamiltonian H_n is therefore PT-symmetric,

$$[H_n, PT] = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

The intertwining operator $\mathcal{L}_n$ either commutes or anticommutes with PT, dependently on the value of n. For any Ψ, $PT\Psi = \epsilon\Psi$, we have $PT\mathcal{L}_n\Psi = \epsilon(-1)^n\mathcal{L}_n\Psi$.

It is desirable that the potential terms of H_n, more specifically q_n and r_n, does not display any new singularities. It is the Wronskian determinant, $\det W$, which encodes singularities of both q_n and r_n. Thus, when we require the Hamiltonian H_n to be regular, the Wronskian determinant should be nodeless. Let us take $n = 1$ and fix $\chi_0^{(1)} = \partial_\lambda\Psi_0 + id_0^{(1)}\widetilde{\Psi}_0$ where $d_0^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}$, see (4.3). Then we have

$$\det W[\Psi_0, \chi_0^{(1)}] = \det W[\Psi_0, \partial_\lambda\Psi_0] + id_0^{(1)}\det W[\Psi_0, \widetilde{\Psi}_0]. \tag{4.6}$$

The second term is a nonzero number (Ψ_0 and $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ are the two independent solutions of the stationary equation). As we know that $PT\det W PT = \det W$, the number has to be purely purely real. It implies that the imaginary part of (4.6) is independent of $d_0^{(1)}$, whereas the real part of (4.6) contains $d_0^{(1)}$ as an additive constant. Hence, one can always fix $d_0^{(1)}$ such that the zeros of the real and imaginary parts of (4.6) are mismatched, giving rise to a singularity-free determinant $\det W$.

5 Intensity of the electric field in PT-symmetric gratings: Examples

Let us apply here the confluent Crum-Darboux transformation on an explicit Hamiltonian H_0.

We identify the following initial Dirac Hamiltonian that, in quantum mechanics, would correspond to a free particle with possibly non-vanishing mass,

$$H_0 = -i\sigma_3\partial_x + \sigma_1\delta = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_x & \delta \\ \delta & i\partial_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta \geq 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Its spectrum[4] consists of two bands of negative and positive energies $\varepsilon \in (-\infty, -\delta] \cup [\delta, \infty)$, divided by a energy gap of magnitude 2δ. When comparing H_1 with the Hamiltonian in (1.4), we find that $\rho = \frac{q_1 - r_1}{2}$, $\kappa = -\frac{q_1 + r_1}{2}$, i.e.

$$H_1 = -i\sigma_3\partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_1 \\ r_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma_3\partial_x + \frac{q_1 - r_1}{2}\sigma_2 + \frac{q_1 + r_1}{2}\sigma_1. \tag{5.2}$$

The eigenstates of H_1 can be obtained via (2.12). However, we are concerned only with the zero modes of H_1 as their components represent the intensities of electric field of the counter-running light in the grating.

The zero modes of H_1 differ qualitatively in dependence on the spectral gap of H_1 and the utilized transformation, based on the chosen seed states. When there is a gap, the two solutions of (1.4) are either expanding exponentially towards infinity or one of them can be square integrable. If there is no gap, the zero modes correspond to the threshold of the continuum spectrum. One of them can be a bounded function. Let us elaborate these two cases below.

5.1 Exponentially decaying electric field

For $\delta > 0$, it is convenient to parametrize the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of H_0 in the following manner,

$$\Psi_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\left(\delta \sin\theta\, x + i\mu\right) \\ \cosh\left(\delta \sin\theta\, x + i\theta + i\mu\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\left(\delta \sin\theta\, x + i\mu\right) \\ \sinh\left(\delta \sin\theta\, x + i\theta + i\mu\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.3}$$

$$H_0\Psi_\theta = \delta\cos\theta\,\Psi_\theta, \quad H_0\widetilde{\Psi}_\theta = \delta\cos\theta\,\Psi_\theta, \tag{5.4}$$

where θ controls the magnitude of the eigenvalues while μ reflects the translational invariance of H_0. The states (5.3) have a definite parity with respect to PT as long as both θ and μ are simultaneously real or imaginary. In the latter case, they represent scattering states (linear combination of plane waves) with the eigenvalues $\lambda = \delta\cosh|\theta|$. The scattering

[4]Here, we borrow terminology of quantum mechanics. The eigenfunctions associated with the allowed eigenvalues are either square integrable or correspond to the quantum mechanical scattering states.

states corresponding to $-\lambda$ can be obtained by multiplication of the former ones by σ_2 as there holds $\{H_0, \sigma_2\} = 0$.

Let us fix the following eigenstate and the associated Jordan state of H_0,

$$\Psi = \alpha\Psi_\theta + i\beta\widetilde{\Psi}_\theta, \quad \chi^{(1)} = \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\theta} + \nu\Psi_\theta + i\eta\widetilde{\Psi}_\theta. \tag{5.5}$$

The coefficients α, β, ν and η have to be fixed such that definite PT-parity of the states is guaranteed. For real θ and μ, all α, β, ν and η have to be real as well. When θ and μ are purely imaginary, we have to take α and ν real and β and η purely imaginary.

Substituting (5.3) and (5.5) into either (2.4) or (3.9) with $n = 1$, the components of the potential term of the new Hamiltonian H_1 can be written as

$$q_1 = \delta\left(-1 + 4\sin\theta\frac{(\alpha\cosh(x\delta\sin\theta + i\mu) + i\beta\sinh(x\delta\sin\theta + i\mu))^2}{D}\right), \tag{5.6}$$

$$r_1 = \delta\left(-1 - 4\sin\theta\frac{(\alpha\cosh(x\delta\sin\theta + i\theta + i\mu) + i\beta\sinh(x\delta\sin\theta + i\theta + i\mu))^2}{D}\right), \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$D = 2\alpha\beta\cosh(2x\delta\sin\theta + i\theta + 2i\mu) - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)i\sinh(2x\sin\theta + i\theta + 2i\mu) \tag{5.8}$$

$$+(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\alpha\eta - 2\beta\nu)\sin\theta - ix(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\delta\sin 2\theta. \tag{5.9}$$

The Hamiltonian H_1 possesses a *bounded* zero mode provided that $\theta = \pi/2 + k\pi$, where k is an integer. For other values of θ, the zero modes are exponentially expanding. Fixing $\theta = \pi/2$, the zero modes of H_1 **are** $\Psi^{(1)} = L\chi^{(2)}_{\pi/2}$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}^{(1)} = L\widetilde{\Psi}_{\pi/2}$. The state $\Psi^{(1)}$ expands exponentially for $|x| \to \infty$ whereas $\widetilde{\Psi}^{(1)}$ is exponentially decaying. Its explicit form is

$$\tilde{\Psi}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\alpha\delta(\alpha\cosh(x\delta+i\mu)+i\beta\sinh(x\delta+i\mu))}{\alpha^2+\beta^2+2\alpha\eta-2\beta\nu+(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\cosh(2x\delta+2i\mu)+2i\alpha\beta\sinh(2x\delta+2i\mu)} \\ \frac{2\alpha\delta(\beta\cosh(x\delta+i\mu)-i\alpha\sinh(x\delta+i\mu))}{\alpha^2+\beta^2+2\alpha\eta-2\beta\nu+(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\cosh(2x\delta+2i\mu)+2i\alpha\beta\sinh(2x\delta+2i\mu)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.10}$$

Substituting (5.6) into (5.2) and utilizing the definition of $n(x)$ below (1.4), we can find the explicit form of the refractive index which is presented in the Fig. (2) together with (5.10).

5.2 Power law decay of the electric field

When H_0 lacks the mass term, $\delta = 0$, the parametrization (5.3) is not suitable. Instead, we fix the eigenfunctions in the following manner

$$\Psi_\lambda = (1, 0)^t e^{i\lambda x}, \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_\lambda = (0, 1)^t e^{-i\lambda x} \tag{5.11}$$

$$H_0\Psi_\lambda = \lambda\,\Psi_\lambda, \quad H_0\widetilde{\Psi}_\lambda = \lambda\,\widetilde{\Psi}_\lambda. \tag{5.12}$$

We fix the kernel of the intertwining operator L_1 as

$$\Psi = \alpha\Psi_0 + \beta\widetilde{\Psi}_0, \quad \chi^{(1)} = \alpha\chi^{(1)}_0 + \beta\widetilde{\chi}^{(1)}_0 + \nu\Psi_0 + \eta\widetilde{\Psi}_0, \tag{5.13}$$



Figure 2: (color online) Real (solid red) and imaginary (solid blue) part of the fluctuations δn of the refractive index $n(x)$, $\delta n = n_0 - n(x)$ (notice that real part is even while imaginary part is odd with respect to PT.). Absolute values of the upper (dashed red) and lower (dashed blue) components of $\widetilde{\Psi}^{(1)}$. We fixed $\rho(x) = \sigma(x)$, $\theta = \pi/2$, $\delta = 0.02$, $\mu = 0.5$, $\eta = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\nu = 0$, $n_0 = 1$.

i.e. $L_1\Psi = L_1\chi^{(1)} = 0$. Ψ_0 and $\widetilde{\Psi}_0$ are zero modes of H_0. The associated Jordan states are $\chi_0^{(1)} = (ix, 0)^t e^{i\lambda x}$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(1)} = (0, -ix)^t e^{-i\lambda x}$ and satisfy $H_0\chi_0^{(1)} = \Psi_0$, $H_0\widetilde{\chi}_0^{(1)} = \widetilde{\Psi}_0$. Here, the states have definite parity provided that the coefficients α, β, ν and η are all either purely real or purely imaginary. Then potential term of the Hamiltonian H_1 reads

$$q = \frac{2\alpha^2 e^{2i\lambda x}}{\alpha\eta - \beta\nu - 2ix\alpha\beta}, \quad r = -\frac{2\beta^2 e^{-2i\lambda x}}{\alpha\eta - \beta\nu - 2ix\alpha\beta} \tag{5.14}$$

From the two zero modes of H_1 only one is bounded and reads

$$\Psi_1 = \frac{i\beta}{\alpha\eta - \beta\nu - 2ix\alpha\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.15}$$

Let us notice that upper and lower components of Ψ_1 coincide for $\beta = -\alpha$. The plot of the modulus of the components of Ψ_1 together with the corresponding fluctuations of the refractive index are in Fig. 3.



Figure 3: (color online) Real (solid red) and imaginary (solid blue) part of the fluctuations δn of the refractive index $n(x)$, $\delta n = n_0 - n(x)$. Absolute values of the upper (dashed red) and lower (dashed blue) components of $\widetilde{\Psi}^{(1)}$. The two components of $\widetilde{\Psi}^{(1)}$ coincide for $\alpha = -\beta$. We fixed $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = 1$, $\nu = 18$, $\eta = 11$, $n_0 = 1$ in the left figure while we changed $\beta = 1.1$ in the right figure.

6 Discussion and Outlook

In the current article, we focused on the analysis of the optical systems described in (1.4) with PT-symmetric potential that simulates a balanced gain and loss of signal in the optical setting. In order to construct exactly solvable models with these properties, we presented the extension of the confluent Crum-Darboux transformation mechanism for the one-dimensional Dirac equation. The construction of the intertwining operator between Dirac Hamitonians as well as chains of Crum-Darboux transformations were discussed in detail. We presented the explicit form of the higher-order intertwining operator and the associated new Hamiltonian, see (3.4) and (3.8), which can be used not only for confluent Crum-Darboux transformation but also for usual and combined ones. The machinery was illustrated in the preceding section, where the transformation was utilized for construction of exactly solvable Dirac Hamiltonians that corresponded to mild, complex fluctuations of the refractive index. We presented regimes where intensity of electric field is localized and decays either exponentially or as $\sim 1/x$.

It is worth noticing that in [41], the confluent Crum-Darboux transformation coincided with intertwining operator between two Schrödinger-type operators, obtained as the squares of the first order Dirac Hamiltonians. Hence, the construction presented there was limited to Dirac Hamiltonians with pseudo-scalar potentials. In our case, the intertwining operator is constructed such that it intertwines directly the Dirac Hamiltonians and the potential term of the Hamiltonian is not restricted. In [21], a optical realization of PT-symmetric relativistic systems was discussed such that a more general ansatz for the electric field in Bragg grating was used; the functions u and v were allowed to depend on time. Then (1.4) acquires the form of time-dependent Dirac equation, see the footnote below (1.4). The confluent Darboux transformation was utilized in [40] for the analysis of the optical systems with invisible defects. It might be interesting to analyze similar systems described by Hamiltonians of the Dirac type with the use of our current results.

The framework presented here is based on the time-independent, one-dimensional Dirac Hamiltonian. The scheme presented here could be extended to the analysis of the time-dependent systems that are useful in the context of solutions of non-linear integrable equations, e.g. equations of the integrable Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur hierarchy [44]. In this context, confluent Crum-Darboux transformations of Schrödinger Hamiltonian were utilized to find PT-symmetric multi-soliton solutions with non-trivial behavior [45].

The current results can be also employed for the analysis of the Schrödinger operators with matrix potentials. In [46], Crum-Darboux transformation for Dirac Hamiltonian was utilized for construction of Schrödinger operators with transparent matrix potential. It is worth noticing that these operators were analyzed recently by in different manner in [47], [48]. However, this analysis would go beyond the scope of the present article.

Acknowledgments FC is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. VJ was supported by the GAČR Grant No.15-07674Y. FC thanks Nuclear Physics Institute of Czech Republic, where a part of this work was done, for hospitality.

References

[1] P. W. Milonni, in Coherence Phenomena in Atoms and Molecules in Laser Fields, edited by A. D. Bandrauk and S. C. Wallace (Plenum, New York, 1992), p. 45.

[2] H. Kogelnik and C. V. Shank, J. Appl. Phys. **43**, 2327 (1972).

[3] J. Carroll, J. Whiteaway and D. Plumb, Distributed Feedback Semiconductor Lasers (INSPEC, London, 1998), Chapter 5.

[4] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009).

[5] C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Nat. Phys **6**, 192 (2010).

[6] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M.-A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Nature **488**, 167 (2012).

[7] C. M. Bender, Rept. Prog. Phys. **70**, 947 (2007) [hep-th/0703096 [HEP-TH]].

[8] A. Mostafazadeh, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **7**, 1191 (2010) [arXiv:0810.5643 [quant-ph]].

[9] A. Mostafazadeh, Phys. Scripta **82**, 038110 (2010) [arXiv:1008.4680 [quant-ph]].

[10] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A **36**, 7081 (2003), [arXiv:quant-ph/0304080].

[11] C. M. Bender, J. Brod, A. Refig, and M. Reuter, J. Phys. A **37**, 10139 (2004) [arXiv:quant-ph/0402026].

[12] M. Znojil, Rendic. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, Suppl. **72**, 211 (2004) [arXiv:math-ph/0104012].

[13] H. F. Jones, J. Phys. A **45**, 135306 (2012) [arXiv:1111.2041 [physics.optics]].

[14] A. Mostafazadeh, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 023833 (2014) [arXiv:1407.1760 [quant-ph]]; Addendum: Phys. Rev. A **90**, 055803 (2014).

[15] Z. Lin, H.Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 213901 (2011) [arXiv:1108.2493 [physics.optics]].

[16] A. Mostafazadeh, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 063812 (2015) [arXiv:1504.01756].

[17] S. Longhi, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**, 485302 (2011) [arXiv:1111.3448 [quant-ph]].

[18] A. Mostafazadeh, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 012103 (2013) [arXiv:1206.0116 [math-ph]].

[19] S. Klaiman, U. Gunther, and N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 080402 (2008), [arXiv:0802.2457 [quant-ph]].

[20] E. M. Graefe and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 013818 (2011) [arXiv:1104.2838 [physics.optics]].

[21] S. Longhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 013903 (2010) [arXiv:1008.5303 [quant-ph]].

[22] J. E. Sipe, L. Poladian and C. Martijn de Sterke, J. Opt. Soc. of Am. A **11**, 1307 (1994).

[23] F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rept. **251**, 267 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9405029].

[24] V. B. Matveev and M. A. Salle, Darboux Transformations and Solitons (Springer, Berlin, 1991).

[25] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Opt. Lett. **32**, 2632 (2007).

[26] A. Andrianov and F. Cannata, J. Phys. A **37**, 10297 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0407077].

[27] S. M. Chumakov and K. B. Wolf, Phys. Lett. A **193**, 51 (1994).

[28] J. Bai and D. S. Citrin, Optics Express **14**, 4043 (2006).

[29] M.-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 233902 (2013) [arXiv:1304.6646 [physics.optics]].

[30] B. Midya, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 032116 (2014) [arXiv:1401.4996 [physics.optics]].

[31] S. Longhi, J. Opt. **17**, 045803 (2015) [arXiv:1501.02063 [physics.optics]].

[32] J. Pappademos, U. Sukhatme, and A. Pagnamenta, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 3525 (1993) [arXiv:hep-ph/9305336].

[33] T. A. Weber and D. L. Pursey, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 4478 (1994).

[34] D. J Fernández and E. Salinas-Hernández, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, 2537 (2003) [arXiv:quant-ph/0303123].

[35] A. Schulze-Halberg, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **128**, 68 (2013).

[36] A. Contreras-Astorga and A. Schulze-Halberg, Annals Phys. **354**, 353 (2015) [arXiv:1504.02426 [math-ph]].

[37] D. Bermudez, D. J. Fernandez C., N. Fernandez-Garcia, Phys. Lett. A **376**, 692 (2012) [arXiv:1109.0079 [quant-ph]].

[38] J. F. Cariñena and M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 10, 105022 (2016) [arXiv:1611.08051 [hep-th]].

[39] S. Longhi and G. Della Valle, Annals. Phys. **334**, 35 (2013) [arXiv:1306.0667 [quant-ph]].

[40] F. Correa, V. Jakubsky and M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 023839 (2015) [arXiv:1506.00991 [hep-th]].

[41] A. Contreras-Astorga, A. Schulze-Halberg, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 103506 (2014) [arXiv:1411.1436 [math-ph]].

[42] L. M. Nieto, A. A. Pecheritsin, B. Samsonov, Annal Phys. **305**, 151 (2003) [arXiv:quant-ph/0307152].

[43] A. V. Yurov, Phys. Lett. A **225**, 51 (1997) [hep-th/0507192].

[44] M. J. Ablowitz, D. J. Kaup, A. C. Newell and H. Segur, Stud. Appl. Math. **53**, 249 (1974).

[45] F. Correa and A. Fring, JHEP **1609**, 008 (2016) [arXiv:1605.06371 [nlin.SI]].

[46] F. Correa and V. Jakubsky, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 125003 (2014) [arXiv:1406.2997 [hep-th]].

[47] A. A. Andrianov and A. V. Sokolov, Theor. Math. Phys. **186**, no. 1, 2 (2016).

[48] A. A. Andrianov and A. V. Sokolov, Phys. Lett. A **379**, 279 (2015) [arXiv:1409.6695 [math-ph]].